

United States Patent and Trademark Office



AP	PLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/465,506		12/16/1999	LANCE LUNDBERG	ICON-102	8959
	909	7590	11/26/2002			
	PILLSBU	RY WIN	THROP, LLP	EXAMINER		
	P.O. BOX 1				AKERS, GE	OFFREY R
	MCLEAN,	VA 221	02		riterto, GE	orrær k
					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					3624	
					DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No. Applic	cant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/468806	Clindby
Office Action Summary	Examiner A	Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet beneat	h the correspondence address
Period for Reply	<u>, </u>	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIREMC	ONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by def Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by 	a reply within the statutory minimum of the aut, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the m	hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ailing date of this communication .
Status /	a//	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	7/23/02	•
☐ This action is FINAL.		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 	cept for formal matters, prosecutio 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		
Claim(s)	- / 0	is/are pending in the application.
Disposition of Claims Claim(s) Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		_ is/are allowed.
Delaim(s) / ~//0		is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election
		requirement.
Application Papers		
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's P	awing Review, PTO-948.	
••		approved.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	is □ approved □ dis	approved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	is □ approved □ disable bjected to by the Examiner.	approved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are on	is □ approved □ disable bjected to by the Examiner.	approved.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D	is □ approved □ disable bjected to by the Examiner.	approved.
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorice. □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies. □ received.	is □ approved □ disablected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be	een
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No	is □ approved □ disable blocked to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be sumber)	een
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved discipled to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be umber)	een 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No	is approved discipled to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be umber)	een 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certified copies not received: ■ *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is □ approved □ disablected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be sumber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	een 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certified copies not received: □ *Certified copies not received: □	is □ approved □ disablected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be sumber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	een 7.2(a)).
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copie □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Note of the Certified copies not received: ■ *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved disablected to by the Examiner. er. ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). s of the priority documents have be umber) e International Bureau (PCT Rule 1	een 7.2(a)).

U S Patent and Trademark Office

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is issued in response to applicant's Amendment A(Paper #8) filed 9/23/02.
- 2. No claims were amended. None were deleted. No new claims were added.
- 3. Claims 1-40 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-40 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Clark(US Pat. No: 6,351,738) in view of Feidelson(US Pat. No: 6,345,261) and further in view of McClelland(US Pat. No: 5,689,650).
- 6. As per claims 1,6,18,30 Clark teaches a method for supporting security-trade financing for a company seeking capital(Fig 4/416)(col 4 lines 5-14)(col 6 lines 6-7) comprising maintaining an inventory data base including data identifying products(col 4 lines 21-32) and determining a cash/trade-credit blend for the purpose of providing financing to the company wherein the cash/trade-credit blend includes a cash portion and a trade-credit portion(Fig 4/414)(col 5 lines 6-14)(col 6 lines 3-5)(coll 11 line 40-col 12 line 46)(col 12 line 50-56) and receiving at least a portion of the cash/trade-credit blend from the company in exchange for a

Art Unit: 3624

proportional quantity of the products in inventory that are desired by the company(Fig 4/410)(Fig 5)(col 5 lines 21-50)(col 8 line 57-col 10 line 54). Clark further teaches volume rebates(Fig 5) and capital financing(Fig 4). Clark fails to teach receiving securities from the company in exchange for the trade-credit portion of the cash/trade-credit blend, but does teach the ability of equity participants to be part of an IPO without loss of control(col 6 lines 8-9). Feidelson teaches this(Abstract)(Fig 1)(Fig 2)(Fig 3)(col 2 line 6-col 4 line 20).McClelland teaches cash/credit blends(col 6 line 36-col 8 line 4). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a user-friendly investment based customer credit program as enunciated by Feidelson(col 2 lines 1-3). It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson and further in view of McClelland to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a method for allocating business interests to participants in a risk managed portfolio as enunciated by McClelland(col 4 lines 1-3).

7. As per claim 2 Clark teaches according to Claim 1. Clark does not specifically teach further including the steps of receiving deficient assets from a deficient asset company but does teach transmitting trade credits to the deficient asset company(Fig 4/410). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a user-friendly investment based customer credit program as enunciated by Feidelson(col 2 lines 1-3). It also would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3624

one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson and further in view of McClelland to teach to teach paying for the deficient assets of a deficient company and storing data identifying the deficient assets in the inventory data base. The motivation to combine is to teach a method for allocating business interests to participants in a risk managed portfolio as enunciated by McClelland(col 4 lines 1-3).

- 8. As per claim 3,10-12,33-34 Clark teaches a method as recited in Claims 1,10,33 wherein the products identified in the inventory data base are categorized(col 9 line 49)(col 10 lines 4-7)(Fig 4/414/418).
- 9. As per claims 4,9 13-14,21-25 Clark teaches a method as recited in Claim 1,10,18,21 wherein the products are selected from the group consisting of goods and services(col 9 line 49)(col 10 lines 4-7)(col 10 line 36)(col 11 lines 40-61).
- 10. As per claim 5,27-28,39-40 Clark teaches a method as recited in Claim 1,18,30 wherein the cash/trade-credit blend is represented by a cash-credit ratio(col 10 lines 34-36). Clark fails to teach a specific cash-credit ratio. Feidelson teaches this(Abstract)(Fig 1)(Fig 2)(Fig 3)(col 2 line 6-col 4 line 20). McClelland teaches cash/credit blends(col 6 line 36-col 8 line 4). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to teach a user-friendly investment based customer credit program as enunciated by Feidelson(col 2 lines 1-3). It also would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine Clark in view of Feidelson and further in view of McClelland to teach the above. The motivation to combine is to

Art Unit: 3624

teach a method for allocating business interests to participants in a risk managed portfolio as enunciated by McClelland(col 4 lines 1-3).

- 11. As per claims 7, 16-17,19-20,26,29, 31,37-38 Clark teaches a method as recited in Claims 6,18,19,30 wherein the desired plan cost basis is based on valuations of the company(col 10 lines 43-45)(Fig 4/416).
- 12. As per claims 8,32,35-36 Clark teaches a method as recited in Claim 6,31,34 wherein the valuations include an agreed-to valuation and a desired valuation (col 10 lines 43-45) (Fig 4/416).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

15. Any questions concerning this communication should be addressed to the examiner of record, Dr. Geoffrey Akers, P.E., who can be reached between 6:30 AM and 5:00 PM Monday through Friday at 703-306-5844. If attempts to contact the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's superior, Mr. Vincent Millin, SPE, may be telephoned at (703)-308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to Technology Center 2100 or this Art Unit is (703)-308-6296 or 6306. Any inquiry of a general nature or

Art Unit: 3624

relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

November 23, 2002